

## **STUDENT SENATE MINUTES**

### **August 6th, 2019**

The Senate President called the Senate meeting to order at 7:00 PM and announced that the meeting may be filmed or audio recorded and may be available to the public. The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

#### **ROLL CALL:**

Roll was called and a quorum was established with 58 senators present.

#### **Not there for roll call:**

- Brown, Cooper
- Bucci, Natalie
- Dougan, Skye
- Ducoat, Kyra
- Faas, Mary
- Fernandez, Aidan
- Gettleman, Carly
- Heera, Sean
- John, Che
- Katare, Annika
- LeMaire, Jacob
- Martin, Tim
- O'Meara, Christopher
- Townsend, Thomas
- Wilson, Macey
- Wolf, Steven

There was a call for a moment of silence in lieu of the mass shootings that took place in El Paso, TX and Dayton, OH.

#### **PUBLIC DEBATE:**

- Senator Rush:
  - On August 3, 2019, there was a mass shooting in a Walmart in El Paso, TX.
  - The shooter wrote a manifesto of racist and crude words about Latinos.
  - In the next 24 hours, there was another mass shooting in Dayton, OH.
  - There has been 2,180 mass shootings since the Sandy Hook Shooting.
  - Senator Rush has lost three family members due to gun violence.
    - Notes that her life has never been the same since.
  - Fears for herself and students that this campus might not be safe anymore.
  - As senators, she asks for another moment of silence in lieu of these shootings.
- Senator Perez:
  - Over a year ago, coming to countless meetings, Senator Perez finally became a senator.
  - In the past, there was actual service occurring in the chamber.
  - One of the parties has conducted endless filibustering and used SG entities as “pawns.”

- Also addresses that the Impact party has attempted to silence students in the Senate as well.
  - There has been a “desire to diminish the minority.”
- Claims the Senate did not run on succumbing to a corrupt agenda.
- Disaffiliates with the Impact party in light of the “heinous leadership.”
- Senator Goel:
  - Have been internalizing many thoughts, and decides to take action on her words.
  - Wanted to work on projects that enhance the student experience.
  - Wanted to work across the aisle, and impact the student body.
    - This is not what has been done.
    - Many petty things have been argued about rather than actually helping the student body.
  - This seems to be a performance to progress a party’s agenda.
  - Notes she will not stand for this.
  - Senator Goel has disaffiliated with the Impact party.
    - Encourages others to think critically and perhaps “do the same.”
  -
- Senator White:
  - This is the first time speaking in public.
  - Comes to speak in light of the “disturbing” events that have occurred since the election.
  - Claims we have not been a “voice for the voiceless.”
  - We are all here to represent underrepresented students.
  - We must respect and understand our students and fellow senators.
  - Leaving chamber when things do not go one’s way is “unacceptable.”
  - Personal vendettas should not have any place in the Student Senate.
  - Hardball politics have no place here.
  - Disaffiliates with the Impact party.
  - Asks if this is the history that students want their names attached to.
- Eliana Guerrero:
  - Serves as the president of the Asian Student Association.
  - Came here recently to the Big 9 to discuss funding for organizations.
  - Cannot express the dissatisfaction of what has been passed in the chamber.
  - Expressed concern that SG would run out of money before the semester even began.
  - Last Thursday, the Allocations Chairwoman gave news that funding has been exhausted.
    - This is a result of ill-advised chairs not seeing this problem coming.
  - Feels that this was not equal nor fair.
  - This impacts how all organizations will be ran in the fall.
  - “In no way is this system fair.”
  - Claims that it is impossible for organizations to receive funding.
    - This is a result of a lack of communication.
  - Claims that some organizations did not know about the budget change due to the lack of communication.
  - Umbrella organizations who were forced to break should not be penalized.

- Organizations were approved on July 17, but funding was exhausted on July 17.
  - ASU could not single-handedly fund the Asian Student Assembly.
  - This delay in the process for organizations is what caused the problem for ASU.
  - Claims the system made it so they had no chance to fund ASA.
  - Too little has been done to prepare for this.
  - On behalf of the ASU, something must be done “now.”
    - “We expect you to hold true to that promise.”
- Alyssa Estrellado:
  - Executive President of the Filipino Student Organization.
  - This year, they will be receiving no funding from Student Government.
  - Sister organizations from the Southeast come to enjoy this event.
    - Will not receive funding from SG because of lack of communication and execution.
    - Cancelling this event is not a feasible option, nor a solution.
  - “If we cancel our events, we are erasing our culture.”
  - We ask you to “be accountable.”
  - “It is unacceptable to leave these mistakes uncorrected.”
- Kevin Nguyen:
  - Notes that we all have three things in common: “we all love being Gators, love serving the student body, and have the potential to do something great.”
  - President of the Vietnamese Student Association under ASU.
  - Formerly a senator in 2017.
    - Has seen what the chamber has seen before.
  - Has seen countless ways how the Senate has failed to come up with solutions.
  - Here to talk about the lack of funding of the ASA.
  - This is one of the largest events, where Nguyen met countless people and developed principles that will be held on for life.
  - Noted what he learned as a senator: “As senator, we serve the student body and have the power to do something right.”
  - Hopes that we can “recognize our failures,” and as Gators, “Do the right thing.”
- David Park:
  - External VP of the Korean Student Association.
  - Speaks on ASA.
  - This is where Park has found his home and community.
  - Mentions the several opportunities that ASA paved the way for him.
  - This is a place where hundreds of freshmen come to view and see what the University of Florida can offer.
    - It is a spark of new beginning and hope.
- Jackie Deo:
  - Speaking on the behalf of the Korean Undergraduate Student Association.
  - Reads an excerpt for another event coordinator.
    - Expresses discontent with the lack of funding for this organization, and the importance of these welcoming events.
- Wenxin Song:
  - After three years of ASA, it is more than just a show.
    - It is a tradition and much more important than students believe.

- Speaks on her experience at her first ASA.
    - Felt safe, happy, and not alone during her experience at ASA.
  - Shares her experience and tasks during her second ASA.
  - “Please don’t take my third ASA away from me.”
- Nathan Quinn:
  - Current Pride Student Union (PSU) President.
  - Here to discuss issues with the funding system for student organizations.
  - Organizations are now under the same caps and restrictions as all other organizations with larger reach and following.
  - “The Big 9 student organizations have been forced to fight for funds.”
  - “This is a blatant strategy by the Young Americans for Freedom.”
  - “These organizations are the only safe spaces for marginalized students.”
  - Asked to look into the history of the man who this building is named after.
  - There is not any more funding for the fall semester.
  - “Events that organizations have been putting on for years and years will not be able to happen this year.”
  - How are we able to explain that we cannot fund the Asian Student Association and Black Student Union welcoming events? Claims that these are not important to SG.
  - Some of the events planned cannot happen because of the new cap in funding.
  - Mentions that they were told three weeks before the beginning of the semester that they will not have enough funds for the welcome assembly.
  - Has been proud to be a part of UF, but not if it means that UF does not care about minority students.
  - If this is not resolved, we will be “counting down” until we are able to leave this university.
- Beaudelaine Mesadieu:
  - Mentorship director of the Black Student Union.
  - Will be reading a statement speaking on behalf of BSU.
  - Big 9 organizations have been distressed based on the news regarding funding events.
  - “BSU has served as a hub for the entire black community since its founding.”
  - This is not just a BSU issue, it affects all students.
  - All Big 9 organizations were dedicated to the change of the 800 codes.
  - Learning about the lack of funding was only 3 weeks before the BSU’s Welcome Assembly.
  - “Welcome assemblies are the official introduction to our communities.”
  - If these do not exist, it will affect student involvement for years to come.
  - “We ask that something is done to resolve this conflict.”
- Senator Amrose:
  - Bravery is speaking in front of a group that does not care about them.
  - States that the students who spoke previously know that the people in the room do not care about their concerns, otherwise they would have not come tonight.
  - Has been speaking about these problems for months.
  - The Inspire has voted against the changes at every chance that they were given.
  - The left side of the chamber was not always empty.

- There 8 Inspire senators once were with 35 total.
  - The other half is filled with incompetent people, and will be left behind when the party “rebrands.”
  - “The minority party will continue to be called ‘troublemakers’.”
  - There were close to 20 bills that would “affect students’ lives” were “killed by the Judiciary Committee.
    - Shares some of the initiatives that would benefit the students in the legislation that was failed by the committee. Less privileged students are being denied benefits.
- Senator Jackson:
  - Thanks everyone who came to speak to the chamber.
  - Wants to speak about the power grab that is apparent in the Senate Rules and Procedures changes.
    - One of the changes is not allowing students who are not senators speak on their authoring of a bill.
    - Another is making it so that a piece of legislation may only be heard once per semester by the Judiciary Committee.
  - States that there are numerous changes that potentially take away the voices of students.
  - Disapproves of the provision that prevents a resolution from being submitted if it is similar to a resolution that had been previously denied.
  - We still are able to be a working body in the summer.
  - Shares other changes that are problematic in Student Senate Bill 2019-1076.
  - Disapproves of the fact that only one minority report can be given per meeting.
  - Implores to “vote with your conscience.”
- Vibhor Nayar:
  - Speaks on behalf of VISA and the Indian Student Association.
  - Consistently worked with student organizations such as the Big 9.
  - VISA and other organizations were forced to be removed this year due to lack of funding.
  - The loss of funding has displaced many international student organizations. Many students are not in the United States during the summer.
  - Providing information during summer results in many complications due to the lack of communication.
  - The graduate population and the international student population at UF are important.
  - We are ignoring the graduate and international student population.
  - Addresses some of the complications that have resulted from the funding issues for international student organizations.
  - Because only \$5,000 can be allocated towards an organization, larger organizations now need sub-organizations to help fund their larger events.
  - Notes Gary Bryant, Finance Director, has been advocating for an increased pool of money for the organizations.
- Minority Party Leader Bindi:
  - Thanks everyone who came in tonight to speak to the chamber.
  - Democracy is “constituents coming and talking” to student leaders.

- Thanks these students for pointing out what needs to be done.
  - Speaks on the legislation on the agenda.
  - Notes that he desires legislation to be heard; wants to “fight for what is right.”
  - Asked the Majority Party Leader to move a piece of legislation that is contentious to the bottom of an agenda.
  - Does not want non-controversial legislation to be held up due to debate.
  - Asks members to move the legislation to the end of the agenda.
  - Will be attempted to add legislation via the floor.
  - Urges the chamber to approve of moving the proposed legislation onto the floor.
  - Addresses the concerns with the content of Student Senate Bill 2019-1076.  
Speaks on the rights that would be taken away from students and senators alike.
  - Urges the chamber to vote against Student Senate Bill 2019-1076.
  - Asks disaffiliated senators to take “action.”
- Senator Ortiz:
  - States that “you are mistaken if you do not think the University of Florida does not have enough money for funding.”
  - Does not believe that the authors of Student Senate Bill 2019-1076 were not in the wrong while writing this legislation.
    - Notes that there are “constitutionally questionable” points in this legislation.
  - States the authors approached him to ask him how he felt about this legislation; ultimately noting that there was an attempt to “do good.”
  - It is offensive if being an independent senator is a stepping stone to join a specific party.
  - Does not want to believe that becoming an independent senator is a stepping stone to joining a party.
  - Believes that no one has been provided the language of this legislation that will affect senators and representatives of the future.
  - Asks the chamber to please reconsider.
  - “This about you making a decision,”
  - “This is about making your voice heard.”
  - Notes that a plethora of students and senators are not getting the respect that they deserve.
  - “Please be angry with me.”
- Zachariah Chou:
  - First, would like to address the reason why everyone in the back is here today.
  - Notes that the University of Florida has plenty of money and Student Government has the ability to make many things happen on campus.
  - States that he will write a reserves transfer for \$300,000 from reserves to student organization budgets.
  - Disapproves of the public debate changes.
  - Displays presentation of excel sheet.
- Senator Greenwald:
  - Wants to talk about the drafting of “Standing against Anti-Semitic” resolution.
    - Reach out to him if you are interested in contributed.
  - Apologizes to the ASA students for what has happened.

- Moving forward, would love to help student organizations to help secure funding.
- Niccole Smith:
  - Thanks the Big 9 representatives who spoke to the chamber tonight.
  - Wants to address things spoken about earlier in the meeting.
  - Explains what the David Bradshaw papers are.
  - A specific organization is in charge of a “system.”
    - States what happens that leads to a “rebrand.”
  - Notes one senator saying that Robert’s Rules of Order was a reason for obstruction in the chamber.
  - By staying silent, you are remaining complicit.
  - Thanks Senator Ortiz for communication when writing legislation.
  - “If you had a conflict about what your caucus is doing, why are choosing now to disaffiliate from your party?”
  - If you wanted to debate something, “why didn’t you vote against your caucus.”
  - Notes that she does not see many senators voice their concerns in public debate; urges all to speak up.
  - Asks to be aware of what is happening.

***\*\*If you wish to hear any speeches given during public debate please request the recordings from [senatesecretary@sg.ufl.edu](mailto:senatesecretary@sg.ufl.edu)\*\****

**AMENDMENTS TO THE MINUTES:**

- There were none.

**AMENDMENTS TO THE AGENDA:**

- Minority Party Leader Bindi moves to move Student Senate Bill 2019-1076 to the bottom of the agenda via roll call vote. There was an objection to the consideration of the question. In a standing vote of 37 to 23, that motion fails. In a standing vote, there will be a roll call vote. That motion is debatable.
  - First round of con:
    - Majority Party Leader Pearson: This is a clear attempt at filibustering.
    - Chairman Sandifer: There was a time where a meeting was guaranteed to be done at 12:00am. I do not want this to happen.
  - First round of pro:
    - Senator Ortiz: Believes not moving this to the bottom of the agenda is what would provoke a filibuster. For the sake of every other piece of legislation, urges to move the piece to the bottom of the agenda.
    - Minority Party Leader Bindi: Believes that this legislation should be moved to the end of the agenda to avoid filibustering this meeting.
  - Second round of con:
    - There was none.
  - Second round of pro:
    - Senator Jackson: If there are things that we can agree on, why don’t we hear them first?
    - Senator Amrose: If you have not received advice to disaffiliate, then you are “done.” This is your last opportunity to make a difference.

- Roll Call Vote:
  - In a roll call vote of 19 to 39, that motion fails.
- There was a motion to remove the special rules clause on the agenda in Replacement and Agenda Committee Recommendations. In a standing vote, that motion passes.
- Majority Party Leader moves to approve Student Senate Bill 2019-1081 by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Majority Party Leader moves to approve Student Senate Bill 2019-1082 by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Majority Party Leader moves to approve Student Senate Bill 2019-1083 by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Majority Party Leader moves to approve Student Senate Bill 2019-1084 by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Majority Party Leader moves to approve Student Senate Bill 2019-1085 by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Majority Party Leader moves to approve Student Senate Bill 2019-1086 by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Majority Party Leader moves to approve Student Senate Bill 2019-1087 by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Majority Party Leader moves to approve Student Senate Bill 2019-1088 by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Majority Party Leader moves to approve Student Senate Bill 2019-1089 by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Majority Party Leader moves to approve Student Senate Bill 2019-1090 by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Majority Party Leader moves to approve Student Senate Bill 2019-1073 by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Majority Party Leader moves to approve Student Senate Bill 2019-1074 by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Majority Party Leader moves to approve Student Senate Bill 2019-1077 by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Majority Party Leader moves to approve Student Senate Bill 2019-1078 by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Majority Party Leader moves to approve Student Senate Bill 2019-1079 by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Chairman Sandifer moves to strike Victoria Dougherty and approve Austin Klein and Katherine Haddad on the agenda by unanimous consent.
- Majority Party Leader moves to approve Student Senate Bill 2019-1080 by standing vote. There was an objection to the consideration of the question, in which that motion fails. In a standing vote, that bill passes.
- Chairwoman Morris moves to suspend the special rules under absences by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Chairwoman Morris moves to the approved absence on 7/30/19: Peter Staviski by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Chairwoman Morris moves to approve approved absence on 7/30/19: Zachary Karpinski by unanimous consent. Approved.



- Chairwoman Morris moves to approve the approved absence on 7/30/19: Sharan Bhakta by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Chairwoman Morris moves to approve the approved absence on 7/30/19: Christopher O'Meara by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Chairwoman Morris moves to the approved the failed absence on 7/30/19: Anthony Portugues by unanimous consent. Approved.
- Majority Party Leader Pearson moves to have all further amendments to the agenda be heard after second reading. There was an objection to the consideration of the question, that motion fails. In a standing vote, that motion passes.

### **STUDENT BODY OFFICER REPORT(S):**

- Lawrence Cody:
  - Gives the contingency plan for the upcoming fall 2019 elections.
  - Displays presentation for the contingency plan.
  - Explains the plan if there is a campus-wide issue with the network or power.
  - Reach out if anyone has any questions.

### **SENATE PRESIDENT REPORT:**

- There was none.

### **REPLACEMENT AND AGENDA COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS:**

All recommendations will be heard as a block per special rules.

- Majority Party Leader Pearson moves to call the previous question.
  - There was a motion for a roll call vote. Seconded. In a standing vote of 7 to 57, that motion fails.
  - In a standing vote of 57 to 8 that motion passes.
- In a standing vote, in the eyes of the chair that motion passes.

### **COMMITTEE REPORTS:**

There was a motion to send all committee reports electronically by standing vote. There was an objection to the consideration of the question, that motion fails. In a standing vote of 35 to 19, that motion passes.

#### **Replacement & Agenda:**

- Will be sent electronically.

#### **Minority Party Report:**

- Will be sent electronically.

#### **Budget & Appropriations:**

- Will be sent electronically.

#### **Allocations:**

- Will be sent electronically.

**Judiciary:**

- Will be sent electronically.

**Rules & Ethics:**

- Will be sent electronically.

**Information & Communication:**

- Will be sent electronically.

**FIRST READING:**

Student Senate Bill 2019-1073: The Bridges Minority Outreach Program Establishment Act

- 5 minutes of presentation:
- There was a motion to call the previous question. In a standing vote, the eyes of the chair that motion passes.
- In a standing vote, in the eyes of the chair, that bill passes.

Student Senate Bill 2019-1076: Resolution Amending the Rules & Procedures

- There was a motion to table this legislation indefinitely by standing vote. There was an objection to the consideration to the question, in a standing vote of 35 to 17 that motion passes.
- Ortiz's motion. There was an objection to the consideration of the question, in a standing vote of 39 to 18 that motion passes.
- 5 minutes of presentation:
  - Refers to the section regarding public debate.
    - Now being referred as "Public Comment."
    - We changed this section to guaranteeing that every student will have 5 minutes of speaking time.
  - Addresses some of the concerns that the minority party has mentioned.
    - Amended the threshold from 20 to 8.
  - Made dozens of changes within the Judiciary Committee to effectively serve students.
  - States that "we have wasted so many university resources because we have not operated effectively. This rule changes that."
  - Making sure that rules are ensuring minority party rights.
  - This document will ensure an effective senate.
  - The aim is to fix the filibustering of meetings.
- 5 Minutes of non-debatable technical questions:
  - Chairman Brown: Can you talk about some of the rule changes that would help Senate Meetings run more smoothly?
  - Majority Party Leader Pearson: These rules are defined very explicitly to avoid the inefficiency of meetings.
  - Senator Mills: How is the precedence of documents altered?

- Majority Party Leader Pearson: Changed the precedence of document section to remain the same for the sake of the minority party. Ultimately decided to maintain the status quo.
- Senator Ortiz: Will future amendments to the rules still need to be signed by the Senate President?
- Majority Party Leader Pearson: The section regarding the Senate President is still in there. Will model it right after our student body constitution. Desires to create a document that is consistent with other governing documents. If the Senate President does not sign a document within 10 days, it will automatically go into effect.
- Chairwoman Morris: How will these changes increase efficiency of our meetings?
- Majority Party Leader Pearson: Guaranteeing every senator has the right to 5 minutes of public debate. Have addressed items to the floor among other changes that will improve efficiency.

There was a motion to extend the question and answer period by five minutes via roll call vote. In a standing vote of 13 to 41, there will not be a roll call vote. In a standing vote, in the eyes of the chair, that motion fails.

- First Round of Con:
  - Senator Perez: Finds disdain with the lack of representation of the Innovation Academy.
  - Senator Ragan: Why pass legislation that does not meet a certain standard?
  - Senator Ortiz: Urges the Senate to reconsider the constitutionality of this document.
  - Senator Jackson: The only person that has objected to extending public debate was the author of this legislation.
  - Senator Amrose: Mentions a past resolution regarding Title IX and explains how it got passed. States that this revision is taking away the ability to pass legislation. If you vote in favor of this legislation, you are preventing students from being heard.
- First Round of Pro:
  - Senator Zlatanoff: With all the changes, it will now align with the 800 code revisions, which is important.
  - Chairwoman Girschick: When looking at the new rules and procedures, I one-hundred percent agree with these changes.
  - Chairwoman Jones: Would like to speak about a few changes made to the I&C Committee. Speaks on the riddance of senate email accounts. Speaks on the committee now requiring to post about applications regarding committee seats.
  - Chairman Sandifer: There are many things improving committees' business, and there is also a refining of coalitions.
- Second Round of Con:
  - Senator Amrose: Believes that many senators here are voting with their best interests in mind rather than the students' interests. Mention one of the first amendments in the legislation. If you vote in favor of this, you are admitting that what you are voting for is truly wrong.
  - Senator Perez: The conflation of things with nothing to do with each other is wrong and pointless.

- Minority Party Leader Bindi:
- Senator Espinosa: Desires to reiterate the point that this legislation is a disservice to all of us in this chamber as senators. Explains the consequences of the legislation and ultimately disapproves.
- Senator Ortiz: There are good things in the piece, but to mix in things that are bad would be a mistake. We already have to follow the precedence of the codes, so keeping continuity will not be effective is postponed further.
- Second Round of Pro:
  - Chairman Sandifer: Would like to address some points from the previous con round. Urges everyone to refer to Rule 1, Section 5, B-2. Felt it was important to make changes to the rules and procedures to make sure coalitions were still included. Advocates for the clarity of the rules and procedures.
  - Senator Mills: Overall, this will really help senate in general and make the process move more efficiently.
  - Chairwoman Morris: Making meetings every two weeks does not mean they can't happen more frequently.
- Third Round of Con:
  - Senator Ortiz: If meetings tend to go past midnight, that is okay. If you do not like it, resign or do not rerun.
  - Minority Leader Bindi: Don't let the majority fool you, they created the threshold of coalitions to begin with. Also finds an issue with the minority party report being max 5 minutes and only allowing one speaker. Notes the dictatorship of the Senate President, notes that the chair can rule anyone constructively absent without any reasoning, which is totalitarian.
  - Senator Perez: Finds contradiction in the attempt to streamline meetings.
- Third Round of Pro:
  - Senator Greenwald: Thinks it is unfair to call parts of this code a "dictatorship." Part of the responsibility of the Senate President is to move through these meetings as smoothly as possible. Those who disapprove are acting as if the Senate President is responsible for absolutely everything.
  - Chairman Sandifer: Builds off the previous point. This language regarding removing senators is already in the rules, but it is more clarified.
  - Chairwoman Morris: Desires to speak on incomplete voter records. It is important to use voter records if no one is calling for final roll call.
  - Chairwoman Jones: Rules define what a dilatory motion is. Refers to Rule 5 where "dilatory motion" is defined. States it does not give the chair all the power to decide what dilatory is. States that there are many clarifications that explain the voting procedure.
- Final Round of Con:
  - Senator Perez: Why conflate these good ideas with the heinous ones?
  - Senator Ragan: States that there is no coherence within the legislation.
  - Senator Ortiz: Agrees that the Senate President is not a dictator, but we do not know who they will be after she is finished. We should not be mixing the good things and bad things.

- Minority Party Leader Bindi: States anything can be construed as disruptive and dilatory. Claims that these rules and procedures allow the Senate President to become a dictator in the chamber.
- Senator Amrose: This removal of the ability of Innovation Academy Students to get a replacement is because of the “rebranding” that is imminent. IA is being left behind.
- Senator Perez: Proves there are many IA students that are on campus in the fall semester.
- Senator Jackson: This amendment will streamline the process. Ultimately disapproves of the amendment.
- Final Round of Pro:
  - Senator Pearson: We must align our rules and procedures with the Student Body Constitution. Fall replacements were unconstitutional, but we hope that the CRC will fix this. These amendments will now give students more time to speak, and ensure that student speeches will be viewed as content-neutral.
  - Chairman Sandifer: I wholeheartedly agree. Would love to work with IA students to make sure that provision is in there. Says the Judiciary Committee will greatly benefit from this change.
  - Chairwoman Morris: Clarifies the importance of committee members showing up to committee meetings. Thinks the rules and procedures amendment will greatly benefit us all.

There was a motion to divide the question per rule number in the Senate Rules and Procedures. There was an objection to the consideration of the question. With a vote of 43 to 16, that motion passes.

- 5 Minutes of Final Privilege:
  - Believes this legislation will improve the efficiency of this senate.

There was a motion for a 10 minute recess. There was an objection to the consideration of the question, in the eyes of the chair that motion passes. The motion for a 10 minute recess fails.

There was a motion for a roll call vote. In the eyes of the chair, that motion fails.

In a standing vote that bill passes.

There was a motion to adjourn; there was a motion to object to the consideration of the question, that motion fails. In a standing vote, that motion passes.

**ROLL CALL:**

- Final roll call was taken.

**ADJOURNMENT:**

- The meeting was adjourned at 9:52 PM.